Elia Carrion@ilnd.uscourts.gov

25

1 (Proceedings heard telephonically.) 2 THE CLERK: Good morning. The next case is 22 CV 3 2024, Wang v. The Defendants Identified on Schedule A. 4 Could I please have the attorney present on behalf of 5 the plaintiff state their name. MR. NAZARIAN: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 7 Ken Nazarian on behalf of plaintiff. 8 THE CLERK: And anyone present on behalf of any defendants? 9 10 MR. FENG: Yes. This is Wallace Feng on behalf of 11 the Joybuy Marketplace defendants, which are Defendant 12 No. 281, Joybuy, and Defendant No. 282, Joybuy Express. 13 MR. URBANCZYK: Good morning. Adam Urbanczyk for 14 Defendant Meowsomerandoms. 15 THE COURT: All right. Do you know the number of 16 that, Mr. Urbanczyk, in the complaint? Or does --17 Mr. Nazarian, do you know the number on that? 18 MR. URBANCZYK: Offhand, I do not, Your Honor. 19 hold on; let me see if I can find it. 20 I apologize, Your Honor. I'm not in front of my 21 computer. 22 THE COURT: That's all right. 23 I was just checking if the original MR. URBANCZYK: 24 email was there. 25 THE COURT: That's all right.

1 MR. URBANCZYK: I do not have it, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. This is a motion for 3 default judgment. You're not dealing with the two represented 4 defendants, correct? Or the multiple represented defendants, 5 correct? 6 MR. NAZARIAN: Correct, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Nazarian, I went through 8 these papers last night, and this is a patent case. The 9 temporary restraining order was entered -- your complaint 10 alleges patent infringement. The temporary restraining order 11 was for -- that I entered was for patent infringement. 12 But I reviewed the preliminary injunction order last 13 night that was entered August 4, 2022. And the injunction 14 that was entered is for copyrights and trademarks. 15 Now, shame on me for missing it, but how did that 16 happen? 17 MR. NAZARIAN: Honestly, Your Honor, I -- I do not 18 know. I -- I apologize for that. That was -- that was a 19 mistake. That should have been limited to patents. 20 THE COURT: Well, of course it should. And you're 21 right, it was a mistake. And I -- shame on me for missing it. 22 I get dozens and dozens of these cases it seems like every 23 week, or certainly every month.

But I rely upon attorneys when I enter a preliminary injunction, for the same reasons I enter a temporary

24

25

restraining order, which is typically how I phrase it, to have the injunction, when the order is presented, match the oral ruling I made.

We've had an injunction in place since August 4, 2022, and no one -- and I -- on this one you should know -- no one has come in to point out that the injunction is for different violations than what the temporary restraining order was. And it doesn't even match your complaint.

I'm vacating the -- Document 29, the injunction that was entered on August 4th. If parties have had their assets frozen based on this wrongfully entered injunction, which again, I take blame for it because I'm the one who signed it, but I think it's pretty clear how this happened; I got the wrong one.

If people had their assets restrained, or there was any other economic distress from it, I'll certainly be willing to hear from them, because it's not their fault. So that preliminary injunction order is vacated.

The motion for default is going to be denied without prejudice. I looked over your motion for default, and I don't understand going to -- this is Document 57-1, page 11 of 13, there is a conclusory statement that says "in a hypothetical negotiation, plaintiff would have requested anywhere from half a million to \$1 million for a licensing fee." And then you ask for \$1 million in compensatory damages.

It's entirely conclusory; it's not supported in the affidavit. The affidavit just points -- from Mr. Wang just points out the sales of the allegedly infringing products. How you go from that to a hypothetical negotiation is not supported.

So if you refile this motion, you're going to have to support the basis of the -- where you come up with this million dollars. And even the request for \$1 million, I don't know whether it's per defendant, collectively for all defendants, whether it's joint and several.

You have a large number of sales. Unlike many of these patent infringement cases, you have a number of sales of the allegedly infringing products, which often is used as the proxy for damages when you have defendants that default and don't come in and provide the sales information and the profit information they typically do. So the profits are often imputed from the sales. I don't get from that a carryover to this million-dollar licensing fee.

So the motion for default is denied without prejudice. If you want to come back in and try and clean this up somehow, you're free to do so with a properly-noticed motion.

To the two defendants that are represented -- or the two attorneys representing multiple defendants, you should know, as I've just said, the preliminary injunction order is

vacated.

If you're going to come in on a new injunction order, Mr. Nazarian, properly notice it. Make sure the draft order comports with the relief you're requesting. The temporary restraining order, of course, has expired a long time ago.

Anything else anyone wants to raise? I'll hear first from Mr. Nazarian.

MR. NAZARIAN: Your Honor, nothing from me,
Your Honor. I -- I do apologize for -- for that. That was a
mistake at my office. I apologize.

THE COURT: All right.

Anything from the two defendants that are -- or two attorneys who represent several defendants?

Mr. Feng?

MR. FENG: Yes, Your Honor, just to add, the -- just to clarify Joybuy's marketplace's status -- Joybuy

Marketplace's position is that it's a platform and does not make or sell the accused products, so it has removed the accused listings, provided detailed sales data, and disclosed the identities --

THE COURT: Slow down, please. Slow down. I can't understand when you're going that fast.

MR. FENG: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

So Joybuy Marketplace's position is that it's a platform and does not make or sell the accused products. It

has removed the accused listings, provided detailed sales data, and disclosed the identities of the third parties.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feng, let me interrupt you.

That is an argument you ought to make to Mr. Nazarian. And if he agrees, he will likely just remove you from the case. If he disagrees, you no longer have an injunction pending against you. It's unlikely he's going to move for default against you because you're a represented party.

And at our next -- I'll set this over for another status. If you haven't resolved it, then I'll give you a date to answer the complaint. And we can take discovery and you can move forward like any other case. But I think the arguments you're making may be very well-founded, but they really ought to be addressed first to the plaintiff, because in most of these cases, if -- because of the literally hundreds of defendants that are typically involved in these cases, inevitably there are some defendants that have good faith -- meritorious defenses, and most plaintiffs' attorneys recognize that if it's true, and then they resolve it.

So I'm simply saying save that argument for Mr. Nazarian, and then you can save it for me if there's a motion to dismiss you file rather than an answer if we reach that point.

1 MR. FENG: Thank you, Your Honor. And we are in 2 discussions with plaintiff's counsel regarding Joybuy 3 Marketplace's status [unintelligible] platform. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Urbanczyk, anything else? 5 MR. URBANCZYK: Nothing from us, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to set this over for 7 You're free to come in earlier, Mr. Nazarian, if you 8 have a renewed motion of -- for injunction or a renewed motion 9 for default. But in case -- I don't want to lose track of the 10 case so we'll set it over for 60 days. And we'll of course 11 vacate the status if there's actions taken earlier on any new 12 motions you make. 13 So we'll give you a date. 14 THE CLERK: All right. April --15 MR. URBANCZYK: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you 16 very much. 17 THE COURT: We'll give you the date now. 18 THE CLERK: April 14th at 9 o'clock. 19 MR. URBANCZYK: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. April 14th at 9 o'clock, unless 21 you're in earlier on some type of motion. Thank you all. 22 (Proceedings concluded at 9:10 a.m.) 23 24

25

CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Elia E. Carrión 27th day of February, 2023 Date Elia E. Carrión Official Court Reporter